# Building Interactive Systems Multimodal Interaction

### **Professor Bilge Mutlu | Spring 2023**

### What will we cover today?

- → **What** is multimodal interaction?
- → **Elements** of multimodal interfaces
- → Multimodal system **architectures**
- → **Example** research systems

#### **Recap: Direct Manipulation<sup>1</sup>**



<sup>1</sup>Left: <u>Design World: 50 Years of CAD</u>; *Right*: <u>Forbes: The Mother of All Demos</u>

#### **The WIMP Paradigm<sup>2</sup>**



#### <sup>2</sup> Left: <u>Mac history: Apple Lisa</u>; Right: <u>Wired: The Xerox Star</u>





teractive Systems | Professor Mutlu | Week 10: Multimodal Interaction



### What did we see?

#### WIMP

- More controls  $\rightarrow$
- More like a tool that the user has to figure  $\rightarrow$ out how to use
- Screen based  $\rightarrow$
- Command-based  $\rightarrow$

#### **Post-WIMP**

- Fewer controls (at least not visible)  $\rightarrow$
- Advanced NLP (especially small talk)  $\rightarrow$
- More partner than a tool  $\rightarrow$
- Still screen based  $\rightarrow$
- Technology might not be there to  $\rightarrow$ differentiate between users
- Dialogue-based  $\rightarrow$
- More personalized, context-based  $\rightarrow$

#### **Enter Multimodal Interaction**

**Definition:** Multimodal systems process two or more combined user input models—such as speech, pen, touch, manual gestures, gaze, and head/body movements—in a coordinated manner with multimedia system output.<sup>5</sup>

The goal is to capture *naturally occurring forms of human language* (verbal and nonverbal), using recognition-based technologies, as input into computer systems.

[Naturally occurring language] + [recognition-based technologies]

<sup>5</sup> Oviatt (2003). <u>Multimodal Interfaces.</u>The human-computer interaction handbook

### The Birth of Multimodal Interfaces

The Media Room<sup>6</sup>

Move [that] to the right of the green square. Put [that] [there]. Make [that] like [that]. Call [that] ... the calendar.

Referential communication; deixis



<sup>6</sup> Bolt (1980), <u>Put-that-there: voice and gesture at the graphics interface.</u> Computer Graphics.





### **Elements of Multimodal Interfaces**

- 1. Natural forms of multimodal language
- 2. Recognition-based technologies
- 3. Multimodal fusion
- 4. Multimodal fission

#### **Element 1: Language Forms<sup>8</sup>**

**Challenge:** How can we identify naturally occurring modalities that effectively convey user intent?

Modality	Example
Visual	Face location, gaze, facia face-based identity, gest
Auditory	Speech input, non-speed
Touch	Pressure, location/select
Other sensors	Sensor-based motion ca

<sup>8</sup> Blattner & Glinert (1996). <u>Multimodal integration.</u> *IEEE multimedia*.

12 – © CS-839 Building Interactive Systems | Professor Mutlu | Week 10: Multimodal Interaction

l expression, lipreading, ure, sign language

ch audio

tion, gesture

pture

#### **CARE Model<sup>9</sup>**

- → Complementarity: Multiple complementary modalities are necessary to understand intent (e.g., speech + pointing gesture in "Put that there").
- → **Assignment:** Only one modality communicates user intent (e.g., steering wheel in a car).
- → **Redundancy:** Multiple modalities, each of which are sufficient, can communicate intent.
- → Equivalence: Multiple modalities that can interchangeably used (e.g., speech and keyboard can both be used to write text).

<sup>9</sup> Coutaz et al. (1995). <u>Four easy pieces for assessing the usability of multimodal interaction: the CARE properties.</u> *Interact*'95.

#### **CARE Model: Complementarity**

Modalities complement each other to convey meaning (each modality is insufficient to convey the same meaning).

Some natural, complementary combinations:

- **Speech + gaze direction**: The system infers that the user is speaking to it.  $\rightarrow$
- **Speech + gestures**: Gestures disambiguate referential speech.  $\rightarrow$

### **CARE Model: Assignment**

Modalities are assigned to specific functions.

Examples:

- → **Speech:** Use for dictation.
- → **Gesture:** Scrolling, panning, zooming.
- → **Pointing & Clicking:** Selection, direct manipulation.

#### **CARE Model: Redundancy**

Multiple modalities that trigger the same function are used simultaneously in a redundant fashion.

Examples (very few real-world examples):

**Pointing + verbal disambiguation**: The tall, red bottle [pointing toward the bottle].  $\rightarrow$ 

### **CARE Model: Equivalence**

Multiple modalities trigger the same function.

Examples:

- Keyboard arrows / trackpad gestures  $\rightarrow$  scrolling  $\rightarrow$
- Keyboard shortcuts / menu items / trackpad gestures  $\rightarrow$  navigation, actions (flag, archive, snooze)  $\rightarrow$

### **Element 2: Recognition-Based Technologies**<sup>10</sup>

	GUI (e.g., WIMP)	MUI
User input	Single	Multip
Interpretation	Atomic, deterministic	Contin
Processing	Sequential	Paralle
Architecture	Centralized	Distrib

<sup>10</sup> Dumas et al. (2009). <u>Multimodal interfaces: A survey of principles, models and frameworks.</u> Human machine interaction: Research results of the mmi program.

#### le

#### nuous, probabilistic

#### el

#### outed & time-sensitive

### Why do we have to recognize?

#### **Unimodal input**

- User perspective: explicit  $\rightarrow$
- *Communication perspective:* pass-through  $\rightarrow$
- System perspective: simple triggers  $\rightarrow$

#### **Multimodal input**

- User perspective: implicit  $\rightarrow$
- $\rightarrow$ inference
- $\rightarrow$

*Communication perspective:* fusion of multiple low-level signals into high-level

System perspective: complex states



#### **Element 3: Multimodal Fusion<sup>10</sup>**

**Challenge:** How do systems infer user intent from multimodal input?



<sup>10</sup> Dumas et al. (2009). <u>Multimodal interfaces: A survey of principles, models and frameworks.</u> Human machine interaction: Research results of the mmi program.

Input type	Raw data of same type	Closely coupled modalities
Level of information	High detail	Moderate detail
Noise/failure sensitivity	Highly susceptible	Less sensitive
Usage	Not commonly used	Used to combine particular modalities
Application examples	Fusion of two video streams	Speech recognition from voice and lip movement

#### Pros and cons of *early* vs. *mid-level* vs. *late* integration models<sup>12</sup>

<sup>10</sup> Dumas et al. (2009). <u>Multimodal interfaces: A survey of principles, models and frameworks.</u> Human machine interaction: Research results of the mmi program. <sup>12</sup> Turk (2014). <u>Multimodal interaction: A review.</u> Pattern recognition letters.

#### **Decision-level**<sup>10</sup>

Loosely coupled modalities

Mutual disambiguation by combining modalities

Highly resistant

Most widely used

Pen/speech interaction

*Feature-fusion (FF), decision-fusion (DF),* and *hybrid* fusion strategies:<sup>13</sup>

- a. Analysis unit
- b. Feature fusion unit
- c. Decision fusion unit
- d. Feature level multimodal analysis
- e. Decision level multimodal analysis
- f. Hybrid multimodal analysis





(e)

<sup>13</sup> Atrey et al. (2010). <u>Multimodal fusion for multimedia analysis: a survey.</u> *Multimedia systems*.











#### Multimodal Fusion Methods<sup>13</sup>

**Rule-based methods:** *linear weighted fusion, majority voting rule, custom-defined rule* 1.

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i imes I_i$$
 or  $I = \prod_{i=1}^n {I_i}^{w_i}$ 

2. **Classification-based methods:** SVM, *Bayesian inference*, Dampster-Shafer theory, dynamic Bayesian networks, neural networks, maximum entropy model

$$p(H|I_1,I_2,\ldots,I_n)=rac{1}{N}\prod_{k=1}^n p(I_k|H)^{w_k}$$
 where  $\hat{H}=rgmax_{H\in E}\,p(H|I_1,M)$ 

3. **Estimation-based methods:** *Kalman filter,* extended Kalman filter, particle filter x(t) = A(t)x(t-1) + B(t)I(t) + w(t) and y(t) = H(t)x(t) + v(t)

<sup>13</sup> Atrey et al. (2010). <u>Multimodal fusion for multimedia analysis: a survey.</u> Multimedia systems.

#### $I_2,\ldots,I_n$ ).

### **Example Fusion Using DBNs<sup>14</sup>**



<sup>14</sup> Huang & Mutlu (2014). <u>Learning-based modeling of multimodal behaviors for humanlike robots.</u> *HRI 2014*.

Gesture Type	Sj	peech Features	
Deictic gestures	Concrete reference <i>"a big pot"</i>	Abstract reference "the first step"	Pronoun "this person"
<i>Iconic</i> gestures	Concrete object "two boards"	Descriptive verb "peel it off"	Non-descriptive action <i>"make it"</i>
<i>Metaphoric</i> gestures	Abstract concept "for six hours"	Abstract process <i>"how paper is made"</i>	Abstract object "the water soluble elements"
Beat gestures	Important information "at least ten times of water"	New information <i>"for example"</i>	Connector "so that"



#### Element 4: Multimodal Fission<sup>10</sup>

**Definition:** Generating the system's response to the user in the most appropriate modality/modalities, choosing from or integrating text-to-speech synthesis, audio cues, visual cues, haptic feedback or animated agents.

Three key tasks:

- **Message construction**, usually through schema- or plan-based approaches 1.
- 2. **Output channel selection**, based on context, user profile, etc.
- **Message syncronization** by coordinating outputs in different modalities 3.

<sup>10</sup> Dumas et al. (2009). Multimodal interfaces: A survey of principles, models and frameworks. *Human machine interaction: Research results of the mmi program*.

Components:<sup>10</sup>

- Dialogue management 1.
- Consideration of user context 2.
- Output modality selection 3.
- Modality synthesis 4.



<sup>10</sup> Dumas et al. (2009). <u>Multimodal interfaces: A survey of principles, models and frameworks.</u> Human machine interaction: Research results of the mmi program.

### **Adaptive Multimodal Fission**

**Modality selection:** CARE model: Complementarity, Assignment, Redundancy, Equivalence<sup>9</sup>

**Output coordination:** Physical layout, temporal coordination, referring expressions

#### **Example systems:**

- GUIDE "Gentle User Interface for Elderly people"<sup>15</sup> 1.
- Proximity Toolkit<sup>16</sup> 2.

<sup>9</sup> Coutaz et al. (1995). Four easy pieces for assessing the usability of multimodal interaction: the CARE properties. Interact'95. <sup>15</sup> Costa & Duarte (2011). <u>Adapting multimodal fission to user's abilities.</u> UAHCI 2011. <sup>16</sup> Greenberg et al. (2011). <u>Proxemic interactions: the new ubicomp?</u> interactions.

Building Interactive Systems | Professor Mutlu | Week 10: Multimodal Interaction

### Multimedia System Architectures<sup>5</sup>

The four elements:

- → Natural forms of multimodal language
- → Recognition-based technologies
- → Multimodal fusion
- → Multimodal fission



<sup>5</sup> Oviatt (2003). <u>Multimodal Interfaces.</u>The human-computer interaction handbook

## **Example Multimodal Systems**

### Figaro<sup>17</sup>

# FIGRRO

Music: Summer from Bensound.com

<sup>17</sup> Porfirio et al. (2021). <u>Figaro: A tabletop authoring environment for human-robot interaction.</u> CHI 2021.

#### Tabula<sup>18</sup>



<sup>18</sup> Porfirio et al.(2023). <u>Sketching Robot Programs On the Fly</u>. *HRI 2023*.



#### **To Learn More**

- → <u>ACM International Conference on Multimodal Interaction</u>
- → ICMI <u>Proceedings</u>